# **SFAC Winter Meeting #7**

## 2/24/17 1:00PM-3:00PM

### **Student Services Center 400**

### Call to Order

**Present:** Andrew Thai, Crystal Inacay, Edward Lin, Ellen Kim, Tammy Tong, Masoud Jalali, Zaid Mansuri, John Laxa, Miranda Pan, Jason Jennings, Louie Cruz, Norienne Saign, Raian Kutubi

Absent: Emily Roxworthy, John Hughes, Astrea Villarroel-Sanchez, Liz Henry

# Approval of Winter Quarter Meeting 6 Minutes Motion by Masoud Jalali, Second by Edward Lin

### **Survey Discussion: Impact vs Value**

- Impact:
  - o serves a goal for the campus (don't worry about money)
  - o how meaningful/ how it affects students' experience
  - o how many people are impacted
    - should be flexible on this  $\rightarrow$  just because it affects thousands of students doesn't mean it's the best way to go
    - affect few in a more meaningful way
  - Ask: Would it have a larger impact if it was used somewhere else?
- Value:
  - o is this a good amount to spend per person/bang per buck
- Example:
  - Art Power would be a large impact (affecting over 35% of students)
  - Greek life is a small impact

### **Triton Food Pantry Discussion**

- Higher funding  $\rightarrow$  more access to food/ higher quality food  $\rightarrow$  attracts more students
  - o dairy section in the future
  - o more vegan-friendly
- Our food pantry has one of the largest traffic
  - Effective and point of pride that we have a resource on campus that is flourishing
     → But is it really a point of pride?
    - you don't want to be in that situation in the first place
    - are there any other ways we can help with food insecurity?
- Students shouldn't be paying for other students
  - But Triton Food Pantry is for all students
- GSA doesn't contribute at all right now → but a good number of grad students go to the food pantry
- Food on campus is expensive
  - o (expensive for staff, let alone students)
- Pros of current food pantry: open space, students not judged for their economic status, helps eliminate food insecurity

- Alternative ideas:
  - 1. Reduced cost instead of free food
    - possible better long term solution
    - public schools have subsidized food
    - difficult for UCSD to do reduced market
      - we're very capitalized at UCSD → many private vendors, food places in price center pay rent
      - bring up to the chancellor
  - 2. Low-cost and non-profit
    - all food on campus besides food pantry are for profit
  - 3. Vetting
    - maybe not a good idea that anyone can access it
      - → without vetting, someone who needs the food more may not get it
    - But by vetting  $\rightarrow$  you kill traffic
    - Food pantry is brand new (only two years old) → not good idea to have vetting process
      - maybe later  $\rightarrow$  if we have a spike in students with food insecurity
      - not enough data for food pantry
- Don't want students to become dependent on food pantry
  - But studies do not support the idea that there is mass dependency when there are food banks
- What can you get for ten points?
  - o divided into half point, one point, two point, or three point
  - o ten points can get you at least a meal
    - there's produce that doesn't count against your points (free healthy food)
- \$25,000 for meal vouchers  $\rightarrow$  where/ how would these be used?
  - o certain places that are aligned with food pantry may take vouchers

## Meeting adjourned by Raian Kutubi, Second by Edward Lin

### Adjournment

**Present:** Andrew Thai, Crystal Inacay, Edward Lin, Ellen Kim, Tammy Tong, Masoud Jalali, Zaid Mansuri, John Laxa, Miranda Pan, Jason Jennings, Louie Cruz, Norienne Saign, Raian Kutubi

Absent: Emily Roxworthy, John Hughes, Astrea Villarroel-Sanchez, Liz Henry