SFAC Winter Meeting #7

3/4/16 2:00PM-4:00PM

Price Center East Governance Chambers

Call to Order

Present: Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Chad Mackie, Ellen Kim, Andrew Thai, Ei Lin Chong, Crystal Inacay, Akshay Tangutur, Mukanth Vaidyanathan, Norienne Saign, Ivan Evans, Sylvia Lepe-Askari, John Hughes, John Laxa

Absent: Negin Mokhtari

Approval of Winter Quarter Meeting #6 Minutes Motion by Ellen, second by Crystal

SFAC Referenda Proposals

- 1. Options: opinion on the ballot, sending a representative to the drafting committee, having a veto power/being a step in the process
- 2. Opinion on the ballot
 - a. Would it be on the actual language?
 - b. Putting it on the ballot won't add another step and complicate the approval process
 - c. Give future SFAC's the flexibility to not partake in the process
 - d. Provide publicity for SFAC
 - e. Cons: AS or GSA could be opposed to our opinions, the language could be redundant, it might not make a big difference in the end
 - f. Sunset clauses inherent bias, sole opportunity to put their opinion on the ballot
 - i. More appropriate in the pro/cons list
- 3. Sending a representative to the committee
 - a. Easiest to implement, gives future SFAC's flexibility
 - b. More proactive approach, providing benefits throughout the process
 - c. Cons: might not want us there, might not listen to our input, doesn't allow students to decide whether or not they want our opinion
 - d. Better for the students to have someone invested in a pro/con because they would make the best arguments, rather than having us being limited to being impartial
 - i. We can make suggestions to whoever is writing the statements rather than having us write them ourselves
- 4. SFAC veto power
 - a. UCI prevents admin from pushing referenda on students with their veto power
 - b. Hard to implement, may slow down the process
- 5. Advise the VCSA
 - a. Making suggestions to the VCSA and providing your opinion may be important in the process
 - b. Will encourage the VCSA to ask for language changes
 - c. Adding a parallel step that doesn't necessarily interfere
- 6. Having a student on the committee drafting the referendum and also having the option of advising the VCSA will keep us informed regarding opinions

- a. Do we want to have an active person on the committee or a liaison to gather information?
 - i. Liaison conflict of interest, act solely as clarification
- 7. *Advising the VCSA provide a formal opinion based on conversation in the committee at a time that is valuable
 - a. Discussing where we could fold in the step, into the process, making sure that it doesn't cause too much toll
 - b. Drafting a formal proposal for the committee approval

Dyad Updates

- 1. Deep Dive Dyad
 - a. Finalize annual review form
 - b. Finalizing units for suggested annual review
- 2. Administrative and Allocative dyad
 - a. Better understanding of different fee sources
 - b. Build a reasonably sized pot to be able to fund one time requests, pilot programs, immediate needs, etc.
 - c. What happened with the \$75,000 that went to the food insecurity?

CSF Winter Report

- 1. Consensus to increase frequency and publicity of regents visits
- 2. UCSA fee
 - a. Currently, it is up to the governing student body to decide whether or not you are a member of UCSA
 - i. UCSA's argument is that this isn't a stable funding model
 - b. Implement a yearly \$4-6 per student fee
 - c. Looking for more details, the nature of the opt out method, exact amount of the fee that would be charged
 - d. Didn't feel that it was a good idea to lend support to an unformed proposal
 - e. Is it appropriate for CSF to be commenting on a fee that is going to UCSA?
 - i. Should SFAC's and CSF remain neutral on these issues?
 - f. Decision to not support the resolution, with the agreement to continue to investigate the issue and possibly provide a pro/con statement for the fee
- 3. Legislative update
 - a. Set up bodies to respond to campus climate surveys and problems
 - b. Expand food stamp programs at universities
- 4. Best practices campaign
 - a. Collected data needed for it, will be made available for everyone to view
- 5. Outreach campaign
 - a. Pool of resources of how different campuses engage students, outreach
- 6. Fee accountability campaign
 - a. Looking at whether or not SSF will be paying the UCOP tax
- 7. Discussion regarding the implementation of the new mental health fees on each campus
- 8. Internal charter, bylaws, standing policies

- 9. Discussion regarding the role of SFAC in referenda
- 10. Spring CSF Meeting UCSF May 21-22

Adjournment

Present: Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Chad Mackie, Andrew Thai, Ei Lin Chong, Crystal Inacay, Mukanth Vaidyanathan, Norienne Saign, Ivan Evans, Sylvia Lepe-Askari, John Hughes

Absent: Ellen Kim, Akshay Tangutur, Negin Mokhtari