# **SFAC Spring Meeting #7**

## 5/18/15 8:00AM-10:00AM SSC 400

#### Call to Order

**Present:** Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Prasad Radhakrishna, Mihiri Ukuwela, Ellen Kim, Andrew Thai, Darlene Nguyen, Akshay Tangutur, William McCarroll, John Hughes

**Absent:** Ashraf Ramzy Beshay, Ivan Evans, Sylvia Lepe-Askari

Approval of Meeting 1-4 Minutes: Motion by Ellen, second by Akshay

#### **Proposed Document Fee**

- 1. Reciprocity "If I take a course at UCLA or vice versa, how does that document fee work in the system?"
  - a. If you take a course at another UC, it ends up on your transcript at UCSD, which means you wouldn't need a transcript from UCLA because they would send them the transcript and UCSD will put it on yours
  - b. Pay as an undergrad at UCLA, recognized as a UC student so you wouldn't be charged another fee at another school
  - c. If you were an undergrad at UCLA and paid the undergrad fee, coming to UCSD as a graduate student, you would pay UCSD's graduate fee
  - d. If you become a grad student at the same school, there's no additional "graduate" fee
  - e. Pay a difference if you come as a summer school student before you have enrolled as a UC student
    - i. Typically students that come for the summer program usually don't order transcripts
    - ii. If your enroll at the summer school, you pay the difference
    - iii. Pay the fee that you come in on
  - f. If you're a student in another system, you pay the summer visitor fee for summer classes
- 2. Why the value of 10 transcripts? Why follow UCLA's model?
  - a. When it was proposed, in a lifetime you order on average 10 transcripts
  - b. Looking at what our peer institutions are doing to see what's a fair starting place for the fee
- 3. What happens if the registrar is coming in on deficit?
  - a. Something that must be dealt with when it occurs
  - b. The idea of "rush" changes its nature with electronic documents
  - c. Moving towards electronic delivery of documents, thus eliminating rush fees
- 4. When schools initially launched the program, there was a flurry of requests
  - a. Business rules, 3 transcripts at a time
    - i. UCLA currently has a 10 transcript limit
  - b. Average per request is only 1 transcript, if needed, they can increase it to 5
- 5. In terms of the replacement diploma, was that an issue of people ordering a diploma with the new fee system?

- a. A lot of schools charge for the first diploma
  - i. Including the price of the first diploma in their document fee
- b. Don't charge for the first diploma, only charging for the replacement diploma
- c. Will they get a lot? Not necessarily
- 6. Is there going to be an announcement sent over the Alumni network?
  - a. Communication with everyone, first class that will be charged is the incoming class of 2015
  - b. Grandfathering current students and alumni
- 7. What's the possibility of it not being implemented by Fall 2015?
  - a. After the agreement of SFAC and the campus, they'll be able to move on and start a long work process
- 8. For many grad students, the tuition remission doesn't totally cover all the fees, leading to a \$257 charge to pay for. Is this fee going to be charged in the fee remission? Or will it be tacked on?
  - a. It'll be tacked on the first time, it's a one-time fee not included in the standard financial aid package
- 9. Would this reduce administrative costs?
  - a. Reduction in administrative costs because there won't be 30,000 individual transactions per year
  - b. Streamlining proposal
  - c. Enrollment has grown by 20%, and the registrar staff hasn't increased at all
- 10. The fee is relatively new, started roughly 2 years ago at other institutions
- 11. In terms of revenue stream, it's beneficial being able to assess an entering student class rather than item by item, week by week, month by month
  - a. Huge benefit to get the revenue stream in a constant, predictable way
- 12. Is there any actual data regarding how many students order transcripts, the average amount of transcripts used, what proportion of the population actually orders more transcripts, etc.? (more solid data)
  - a. 20% increase of enrollment, no increase of staff this proposal is meant to offset the funding
  - b. The most likely chance of being able to pay for all of your documents is most likely at the beginning of your career compared to the end of it
    - i. Opportunity to change that dynamic
- 13. Is there a contingency plan if it doesn't work out?
  - a. Generally, no concern because UCLA and Berkeley have been going on for two years and haven't met any problems yet
  - b. Predictable revenue streams let the office budget and plan in advance
  - c. Co-curricular transcript abilities not listed on a transcript
    - i. Internship training proven to be a sufficient intern
    - ii. Skillsets developed in college to be more employable
    - iii. Leadership, other activities that would show that you're capable of other things
    - iv. Separate from the original transcript and the co-curricular transcript
  - d. Not a new fee, it's a change in how we charge an existing fee
    - i. The real problem is that if students pay up front, and only order one transcript for one school, then yes the student doesn't get the full benefit

- ii. Because it's prepaid and bundled, more likely to get the full value or more of the fee
  - 1. However if students on average order less than 10, then there's a fear of overcharging students
- 14. Includes international delivery by traditional means, most likely overnight delivery isn't

#### **Dyad updates**

- 1. Safety
  - a. Make sure that the parties in the meeting follow up on what was discussed
- 2. Food Pantry
  - a. Possible directions that the food pantry can go to?
  - b. A.S. has a responsibility to run it, tried to include it in a referenda that unfortunately didn't go through
  - c. Writing a report on the food pantry, the needs and issues regarding it, how we can further address food insecurity on campus
  - d. Can also discuss whether or not we want to continue this dyad next year
  - e. Food insecurity is a vague problem. 20% of students miss a meal, but we don't know if it's due to choice, circumstance, etc.
    - i. Stigma attached to it, getting solid data is hard to come by
    - ii. Strong peer-to-peer outreach to find out more about the topic for a safer environment to gather information
  - f. What are SFAC's thoughts on it? Is it going to be a quarterly funding? Yearly funding? Etc. Is there anything past a dyad on it?
    - i. Hard to justify activities fees being used for a service
    - ii. We aren't making allocations right now
    - iii. The main issue is that it's an A.S. service put on by them
    - iv. Funding from the VCSA office comes from SFAC, so if the food pantry becomes a high priority, SFAC has the influence to show our backing and say that it's a critical student service that needs more funding
      - 1. Another option is saying we'd rather fund the food pantry instead of something else
  - g. Another opportunity peer to peer giving, engage CSI's community service outreach organization, the idea of taking care of our community
    - i. Be able to manage it through a local donation program
  - h. Permanent long term can happen, there needs to be a groundswell of support
    - i. Trying to turn it away from A.S. and making it more of a campus desire
- 3. SLS
  - a. Report sent out clarifying information, making sure we have the correct terms for legal services
- 4. ICA/Sports Facilities
  - a. Last final meeting with ICA
  - b. Statement of their differences from ICA, Recreation, Sports Facilities, etc.
  - c. Information regarding how they work with student health and wellness and how they see themselves fitting into that pattern

## **Spring Quarter Focus Groups - New Health SSF**

- 1. President Napolitano/governor brown
- 2. May revise proposal
- 3. State support
- 4. Has to be approved by legislature
- 5. Holds tuition flat for the next two years, after that tuition is subject to CPI
- 6. Support to raise the SSF by 5% annually (this year, that amounts to \$45)
  - a. For what period of time will this occur?
  - b. 70% of the increase is for student mental health and other critical services
  - c. Opportunity for revenue to focus on SSF units
- 7. International student tuition stays flat, the supplemental non-resident tuition is going up 8% this year and next year, base tuition stays flat
  - a. Doesn't apply to graduate international or non-resident tuition
- 8. One-third of undergraduate incoming students every year will be transfer students (currently, slightly under one-third, roughly at 30%)
- 9. Purpose of our focus groups is to have a conversation regarding health and wellness (including safety)
  - a. The definition of health and wellness? Personally, make it broader so that students can comment on more broad topics
    - i. Give examples that show there's a broader idea of health and wellness, such as recreation, safety, food insecurity, etc.
    - ii. The proactive aspects of health and wellness is also just as important

## Adjournment

**Present:** Paul Tchir, Jackie Markt-Maloney, Prasad Radhakrishna, Mihiri Ukuwela, Ellen Kim, Andrew Thai, Darlene Nguyen, Mukanth Vaidyanathan, Jennifer Huerta, Norienne Saign, William McCarroll, John Hughes

**Absent:** Ashraf Ramzy Beshay, Akshay Tangutur, Ivan Evans, Sylvia Lepe-Askari